

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
AFFLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	THE INTERIOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO:	
10/781,151	02/17/2004	Xiao-Qi Zhou	200310352-1	6042	
				<u> </u>	
22879	7590 02/27/2006		EXAM	IINER	
HEWLETT 1	PACKARD COMPA	JACKSON, MONIQUE R			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD					
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773		
I OKI COLL	1115, 00 00327-2400		1773		

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>__</i>		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/781,151	ZHOU ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Monique R. Jackson	1773		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•			
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 3/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/781,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2003-286687 (JP'687) in view of Chartier et al (USPN 5,614,325.) JP'687 teaches a mat coated paper substrate comprising a paper base and provided on either or both sides of the paper base, a finish coat applied on top of an undercoat layer wherein the finish coat layer comprises a binder and a pigment mixture comprising kaolin, calcium carbonate and hollow synthetic resin particles; and the undercoat layer comprises a binder and calcium carbonate (Abstract.) JP'687 teaches that the undercoat layer is preferably provided in a weight of 9 g/m² and the finish coat is preferably coated at a weight of 15 g/m²; wherein the coatings may include various other pigments beyond the recited calcium carbonate and kaolin, and various latex polymers and binder materials may be utilized wherein the amount of binder is more than 5 mass parts, preferably 10-17 mass parts, per 100 mass parts of the pigment (0022-0028.) JP'687 also teach that the hollow synthetic resin particles in the finish coat are provided in an amount of 2-10% by mass based on the total pigment content in the coat (Abstract; 0014) and further teach that other conventional additives may be added to the coating compositions such as a thickener, water retention agent, coloring agent, lubricant, etc. (0026.) Though JP'687 teach that various additives may be incorporated into the coating layers of the coated paper, JP'687 does not teach that the coating layers include a

Application/Control Number: 10/781,151

Art Unit: 1773

discharge control agent, particularly a sulfonated polystyrene as instantly claimed. However, Chartier et al teach that by incorporating a discharge control agent, such as the instantly claimed sodium salt of a highly sulfonated polystyrene, into the coating of a coated paper substrate, improvements are provided in terms of feeding properties when the coated paper is utilized in a friction-feed printing process. Hence, based on the teachings of Chartier et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a discharge control agent, such as a sodium salt of a highly-sulfonated polystyrene, in the paper coating compositions of the invention taught by JP'687, in a sufficient amount (such as 6 weight parts as taught in the example by Chartier et al) and molecular weight to provide the desired conductive properties to reduce the electrostatic charges generated by friction in printer or photocopier paper feeds, as taught by Chartier et al (Col. 3, lines 21-59), when the coated paper taught by JP'687 is utilized in a photocopier or friction feed system. With regards to the particle size of the hollow pigment and other pigment materials, though JP'687 does not specifically teach the particle size or size distribution as instantly claimed, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize routine experimentation to determine the optimum particle size of the particles to utilize in each layer based on the desired coating and matt properties and the desired thickness of the coating layers for a particular end use of the coated paper substrate. With regards to Claims 3 and 4, though JP'687 teach that the substrate is preferably paper, it is known in the art that plastic films are suitable synthetic materials equivalent to paper substrates for use in producing coated printing medium and one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to utilize

Page 3

polymer films as the base and/or determine a suitable base material and internal bond strength for a particular end use of the printing medium.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

alon

February 21, 2006